UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,569	11/06/2006	Tony Richards	1009-003	6482	
47654 7590 02/05/2010 BAINWOOD HUANG & ASSOCIATES LLC 2 CONNECTOR ROAD WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581			EXAMINER		
			DANIEL JR, WILLIE J		
WESTBUROU	GH, MA 01381		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers		10/568,569	RICHARDS ET AL.	RICHARDS ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		WILLIE J. DANIEL JR	2617			
<i>TI</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication apeply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress		
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO perional Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLOYER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS SOFT OF THE MAILING IS S	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this con NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1\ ⊠ ₽₽	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 l</u>	November 2006				
·		is action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
•						
OIO.	sed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1000 O.D. 11,	400 0.0. 210.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdra im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application	Papers					
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) <u></u> The	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Rep	placement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
11) <u></u> The	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ A 1.[2.[3.∑	Certified copies of the priority documer Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No ived in this National S	Stage		
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
3) X Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	l Date al Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/568,569 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to application filed on 06 November 2006. **Claims 1-35** are now pending in the present application.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on
 - a. 10 January 2007

is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and is being considered by the examiner.

The IDS (see item 3a above) included reference document(s) that was lined through (or crossed-out) and have not been considered by the Examiner. Reasons for not considering the documents are at the least the following:

The IDS failed to provide a correct publication no. as required by 37 CFR
 1.98(b)(2).

Application/Control Number: 10/568,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Election/Restrictions

4. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- a. Group I, claim(s) 1-23, drawn to a receiver for use in a proximity detecting system being arranged to evaluate a distance on the basis of signal strengths.
- b. Group II, claim(s) 24-35, drawn to a transmitter for use in proximity detection apparatus being operable to selectively transmit signals at a plurality of different frequencies.
- 5. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions modes of operation. Group I is directed to a receiver for use in a proximity detecting system being arranged to evaluate a distance on the basis of signal strengths and Group II is directed to a transmitter for use in proximity detection apparatus being operable to selectively transmit signals at a plurality of different frequencies.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 2617

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 7. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 2617

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIE J. DANIEL JR whose telephone number is (571)272-7907. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,569 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/WJD,Jr/

WJD,Jr

01 February 2010

/Charles N. Appiah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617